International Journal of Modern Physics A
© World Scientific Publishing Company

arXiv:1511.02412v2 [hep-th] 8 Jan 2016

The Relativity of Colour

Paul D Stack and Robert Delbourgo

School of Physical Sciences, University of Tasmania, Locked Bag 37 GPO Hobart, Tasmania 7001,AUSTRALIA 7001
pdstack@utas.edu.au, bob.delbourgo@utas.edu.au

By attaching three anticommuting Lorentz scalar (colour) property coordinates to space-time, with an appropriate extended metric, we unify gravity with chromodynamics: gauge transformations then just correspond to coordinate transformations in the enlarged spacetime-property space.

1. Introduction

We have come a long way since the work of Kaluza and Klein on the unification of gravity with electromagnetism obtained by attaching a fifth coordinate to the four spacetime coordinates. By enlarging the spacetime with further bosonic coordinates which, like the fifth, are 'curled up' it is possible to attain a unified description of gravity with Yang-Mills theory, and the strong interactions in particular — but at the price of producing infinite towers of excitations of the ground state[1,2,3,4,5]. One may even extend the idea with supersymmetry[6] but at the extra price of some spin state proliferation.

In recent years we have suggested an alternative enlargement based on Lorentz scalar anti-commuting coordinates, which avoids towers of excitations and spin problems. We have associated these new coordinates with concrete 'attributes' that accompany events. The next step in our endeavour to unify gravity with the other forces involves the consideration of the strong force. As in our previous papers[11,12,13], we achieve this through the addition of property coordinates to space-time in order to describe the "what" that is missing from the "when" and "where" of an event. In the case of the strong force we need to include three 'chromicity' coordinates, one for each colour. Since the strong force conserves parity we do not need to consider separate left and right chiral property coordinates, simplifying the situation greatly.

So far our work on this topic has been as follows: first[11] we carefully worked through how to extend general relativity with anti-commuting property coordinates and then applied it to a one coordinate model, or electricity. This unified gravity with electromagnetism and also produced a cosmological constant, the sign of the which was wrong due to a lack of freedom in our metric – but this was only an issue for the one coordinate case. Next[12] we considered two property coordinates

and found that we could unify gravity with a U(2) Yang-Mills gauge field, again producing a cosmological constant but this time with enough freedom that it was at least consistent with observation. To properly treat the weak force one needs to consider chiral fields, so finally[13] we looked again at two property coordinates, but assigned them left and right chiralities. This resulted in unification of gravity with $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R$ gauge fields and a cosmological constant. To ensure the universality of gravitational interactions we found the gauge couplings had to be equal at high energy.

The next step, which is the focus of this paper, is to consider three property coordinates associated with 'chromicity' or colour and to attempt to unify gravity with the strong force. In Section 2 we will briefly introduce property coordinates, then Section 3 covers the construction of a supermetric and the resulting Lagrangian that is produced. In Section 4 we will describe how matter fields come into play and finally in Section 5 we offer some conclusions and mention what steps to take next.

2. Colour property

We attach three complex anti-commuting coordinates ζ and their conjugates $\bar{\zeta}$ to space-time. We choose these coordinates to be Lorentz scalars, which distinguishes our scheme from supersymmetry where the extra degrees of freedom transform as spinors, leading to spin state proliferation.

As we have done previously, we adopt the convention that space-time-property indices run over uppercase Roman characters (M, N, L, etc), space-time indices run over lower case Roman characters (m, n, l, etc) and property indices run over Greek letters (μ, ν, λ, etc). Thus our superspace is denoted by $X^M = (x^m, \zeta^\mu, \zeta^{\bar{\mu}})$.

In our last paper[13] we discussed left and right handed chiralities, but since the strong force conserves parity we do not need that distinction here. We assign quantum numbers to our chromic coordinates as follows: $(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3)$, Colour = (Red, Green, Blue), Quark number = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3) and similarly for the conjugates: $(\zeta^{\bar{1}}, \zeta^{\bar{2}}, \zeta^{\bar{3}})$, Colour = (Anti-Red, Anti-Green, Anti-Blue), Quark number = (-1/3, -1/3, -1/3). The matter fields resulting from this assignment are discussed later in Section 4.

3. Supermetric and Lagrangian

The construction of the metric follows a very similar procedure to that of our U(2) paper[12], we start with a flat manifold possessing coordinates $X^M = (x^m, \zeta^\mu, \zeta^{\bar{\mu}})$ without space-time curvature or gauge fields. Our metric distance in this case is given by:

$$ds^2 = dX^M dX^N \eta_{NM} = dx^m dx^n \eta_{nm} + \ell^2 (d\zeta^\mu d\zeta^{\bar{\nu}} \eta_{\bar{\nu}\mu} + d\zeta^{\bar{\mu}} d\zeta^\nu \eta_{\nu\bar{\mu}})/2$$

where $\eta_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = -\eta_{\bar{\nu}\mu} = \delta_\mu{}^\nu$ and η_{nm} is Minkowskian. The property coordinates ζ and $\bar{\zeta}$ are being taken as dimensionless, so a length scale ℓ has been introduced to ensure the metric distance carries the correct units.

We now deviate slightly from our previous work and consider the addition of the property curvature before the inclusion of gauge fields. The idea is to include U(3) invariants multiplied by free parameters c_i; these have no effect on the *global* U(3) invariance of the metric distance. In the U(2) paper[12] we had 4 free parameters, in the U(1)×U(1) paper[13] we had 9. If we continue this to the U(3) case we would have 6 free parameters. However all we need is the freedom to allow Newton's constant and the cosmological constant to take sensible values. The restriction of these extra parameters then becomes necessary as we move forward; we are still investigating possible ways of doing this but for now we will include one overall factor $C = 1 + c_1\bar{\zeta}\zeta + c_2(\bar{\zeta}\zeta)^2 + c_3(\bar{\zeta}\zeta)^3$. Thus our metric becomes:

$$\eta_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} C\eta_{mn} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}Cl^2\delta^{\nu\bar{\mu}} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2}Cl^2\delta^{\mu\bar{\nu}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

We then apply the formula from our first paper[11] to get the Christoffel symbols:

$$2\Gamma_{MN}{}^{K} = \big[(-1)^{[M][N]}G_{ML,N} + G_{NL,M} - (-1)^{[L]([M]+[N])}G_{MN,L}\big](-1)^{[L]}G^{LK}. \tag{2}$$

This is then used in the Palatini form of the Ricci scalar:

$$\mathcal{R} = (-1)^{[L]}G^{MK}[(-1)^{[L][M]}\Gamma_{KL}{}^{N}\Gamma_{NM}{}^{L} - \Gamma_{KM}{}^{N}\Gamma_{NL}{}^{L}] \tag{3}$$

to produce the Lagrangian (via Mathematica):

$$\int \sqrt{G_{..}}\mathcal{R}\; d^3\zeta d^3\bar{\zeta} = -\frac{1152}{l^8}\sqrt{-g}K_\Lambda, \tag{4}$$

where $K_\Lambda = 5c_1{}^4 - 10c_1{}^2c_2 + 2c_2{}^2 + 3c_1c_3$.

The inclusion of the property curvature factor C has thus generated a cosmological constant. The next step is to introduce space-time curvature by generalizing from η_{mn} to g_{mn} and also to admit local U(3) phase transformations to our chromic coordinates so as to incorporate QCD; these transformations of chromicity take the form:

$$x \to x; \quad \zeta^{\mu} \to [e^{i\Theta(x)}]^{\mu\bar{\nu}}\zeta^{\nu}; \quad \zeta^{\bar{\mu}} \to \zeta^{\bar{\nu}}[e^{-i\Theta(x)}]^{\nu\bar{\mu}}. \tag{5}$$

For our metric to transform correctly under such local phase transformations the minimal way is to introduce non-abelian gluon fields W_m, leading to the following metric:

$$G_{MN} = C\begin{pmatrix} g_{mn} + \frac{1}{2}g_w{}^2l^2\bar{\zeta}(W_mW_n + W_nW_m)\zeta & -\frac{1}{2}ig_wl^2(\bar{\zeta}W_m)^{\bar{\nu}} & -\frac{1}{2}ig_wl^2(W_m\zeta)^{\nu} \\ -\frac{1}{2}ig_wl^2(\bar{\zeta}W_n)^{\bar{\mu}} & 0 & \frac{1}{2}l^2\delta^{\nu\bar{\mu}} \\ -\frac{1}{2}ig_wl^2(W_n\zeta)^{\mu} & -\frac{1}{2}l^2\delta^{\mu\bar{\nu}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

There are two reasons for the choice of metric in the spacetime-property sector: we have to ensure that it is overall fermionic and incorporates carriers of property, namely the gauge fields. One could contemplate including in that sector ghost fields V_m with the wrong spin-statistics multiplying polynomials of $\bar{\zeta}\zeta$, but these have no

place at the semiclassical level and it is hard to see where they fit in at this stage; however they may have a role to play when quantizing gravity via BRST[7,8,9], but V_m most certainly should *not* appear as asymptotic states; similar remarks can be made for the scalar ghosts[10] helpful in quantizing gauge models.

Making use of Mathematica, the Lagrangian arising from (6) then comes out to be:

$$\int \sqrt{G_{..}}R\, d^3\zeta d^3\bar{\zeta} = \frac{4}{l^4}\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{24}{l^2}K_g R^{[g]} - \left(2c_2 - 3{c_1}^2\right) {g_w}^2 \mathcal{F}^{mn}\mathcal{F}_{mn} - \frac{288}{l^4}K_\Lambda\right) \tag{7}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{mn} = W_{n,m} - W_{m,n} - ig_w[W_m, W_n]$ and $K_g = 2{c_1}^3 - 3c_1c_2 + c_3$. This gives:

$$\kappa = {g_w}^2 l^2(2c_2 - 3{c_1}^2)/12K_g, \quad \Lambda = 6K_\Lambda/l^2K_g. \tag{8}$$

Even with this fairly minimal set of c_i coefficients, there is easily enough freedom to fix the gravitational and cosmological constants to be what they physically are, though the smallness of Λ implies fine-tuning.

4. Chromic polynomials and Matter Fields

Focussing on the three chromic ζ, we are dealing with an Sp(6) group that contains $\mathrm{U}(3) \supset \mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{colour}}$. If there are no powers of ζ or $\bar{\zeta}$, we are dealing with a black or colourless object, whereas the coefficient of the cubic term $\zeta^1\zeta^2\zeta^3$ corresponds to a white system (or baryon); this is to be contrasted with the colour neutral combination $\zeta^{\bar{\sigma}}\zeta^{\sigma}$ (a meson). However from the point of view of the colour group, we can make use of the Levi-Civita tensor $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma\tau}$ and its conjugate to contract/raise/lower chromic indices; as far as SU(3) colour is concerned white is the same as black and all free hadrons have this property, but chromicity regards them differently. Nonetheless the basic polynomials $1, Z, Z^2/2!, Z^3/3!$ with $Z \equiv \zeta^{\bar{\sigma}}\zeta^{\sigma}$, which have already been used in introducing property curvature previously, are both colour and chromicity neutral and have the potential of describing 'generations' of singlets.

4.1. *Colour and Generations*

To investigate this notion systematically, start with the linear in ζ colour or chromic triplet $(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3)$ which belongs to the superfermion field Ψ. Next consider the quadratic combination $(\zeta)^2$ – part of a bosonic superfield Φ – corresponding to the colour product: $\bar{3}\times\bar{3} = 3\oplus\bar{6}$, of which the $\bar{6}$ is missing because of anticommutativity! Next add one power of $\bar{\zeta}$, leading to $3 \times 3 = \bar{3} \oplus 6$. Here both representations are permitted; the new triplet can be written as $(\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3)(\zeta^{\bar{\sigma}}\zeta^{\sigma})$ while the new sextet contains $\zeta^{\bar{3}}\zeta^1\zeta^2 \sim \zeta^{\bar{3}}\chi^{\bar{3}}$, etc. We can envisage another fermionic triplet through the polynomial $(\zeta)^3(\bar{\zeta})^2$ having components

$$\left(\zeta^1(\zeta^{\bar{2}}\zeta^2\zeta^{\bar{3}}\zeta^3), \zeta^2(\zeta^{\bar{3}}\zeta^3\zeta^{\bar{1}}\zeta^1), \zeta^3(\zeta^{\bar{1}}\zeta^1\zeta^{\bar{2}}\zeta^2)\right).$$

So far as bosons are concerned, in addition to the colour singlets and octets, contained in the combinations, $\zeta^{\bar\rho}\zeta^{\sigma}, \zeta^{\bar\rho}\zeta^{\sigma}(\zeta^{\bar\tau}\zeta^{\tau})$, there are only two colour triplets:

$$(\zeta^{\bar 2}\zeta^{\bar 3}, \zeta^{\bar 3}\zeta^{\bar 1}, \zeta^{\bar 1}\zeta^{\bar 2}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\zeta^{\bar 1}, \zeta^{\bar 2}, \zeta^{\bar 3})(\zeta^{1}\zeta^{2}\zeta^{3}),$$

plus their conjugates.

To summarise all these facts, it serves to draw up a table of 32 component fields each arising in the property expansions of the superfields containing fermions and bosons:

$$\Psi(x,\zeta,\bar\zeta)=\sum\sum\nolimits_{r+s\ odd}(\zeta)^r(\bar\zeta)^s\psi_{rs}(x),\ \Phi(x,\zeta,\bar\zeta)=\sum\sum\nolimits_{r+s\ even}(\zeta)^r(\bar\zeta)^s\phi_{rs}(x). \tag{9}$$

These components, labelled by rs, can be arranged in a 4×4 magic square where entries (as colour representations) tie in with the powers of chromicity. Thus we get the fermion (see Table 1) and boson (see Table 2) squares below:

Table 1. Fermionic colour states $(\bar\zeta)^r(\zeta)^s$; $r+s$ odd

$r\backslash s$	0	1	2	3
0		3		1
1	$\bar 3$		$3\oplus\bar 6$	
2		$\bar 3\oplus 6$		3
3	1		$\bar 3$	

Table 2. Bosonic colour states $(\bar\zeta)^r(\zeta)^s$; $r+s$ even

$r\backslash s$	0	1	2	3
0	1		$\bar 3$	
1		$1\oplus 8$		$\bar 3$
2	3		$1\oplus 8$	
3		3		1

Observe that reflection about the main diagonal is connected with charge conjugation, whereas reflection about the cross-diagonal $(r,s)\leftrightarrow(3-s,3-r)$ does not affect the colour representation.

4.2. *Chromoduality and Colour Superfields*

We may take advantage of the last observation to cut down the number of independent components arising in the property expansion. For a start let the duality$^\times$ operation correspond to reflection about the cross-diagonal of the monomials: $(\zeta)^r(\bar\zeta)^s \to_\times (\bar\zeta)^{3-r}(\zeta)^{3-s}$. Specifically, using the colour Levi-Civita symbol, we construct for example, $(\zeta^{\bar\rho}\zeta^{\bar\sigma}\zeta^{\lambda})^\times = \epsilon^{\bar\rho\bar\sigma\bar\tau}\zeta^{\tau}\,\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu}\zeta^{\bar\mu}\zeta^{\bar\nu}/2$. Thus $(\zeta^1\zeta^2\zeta^3)^\times = \zeta^1\zeta^2\zeta^3$ is colour selfdual, while $1^\times = (\zeta^{\bar\sigma}\zeta^{\sigma})^3/3!$ and vice versa.

So if we were to impose anti-selfduality on the magic square we would remain with two colour triplets (& conjugates) in the fermion sectors (see Table 3), with the colour sextet disappearing; the boson sector (see Table 4) would comprise two singlets, one octet and one triplet (+ conjugate):

Table 3. Anti-selfdual ψ with $r+s$ odd

$r\backslash s$	0	1	2	3
0		3		
1	$\bar{3}$		$3'$	
2		$\bar{3}'$		-
3			-	

Table 4. Anti-selfdual ϕ with $r+s$ even

$r\backslash s$	0	1	2	3
0	1		$\bar{3}$	
1		$1' \oplus 8'$		-
2	3		-	
3		-		-

The corresponding anti-selfdual (normalized) chromic expansions read, in detail ($Z \equiv \zeta^{\bar\sigma}\zeta^{\sigma}$):

$$2\Psi(x,\zeta,\bar\zeta) = \big(\bar\zeta\psi(x) + \psi^c(x)\zeta\big)\,(1-Z^2/2) + \big(\bar\zeta\psi'(x) + \psi'^c(x)\zeta\big)\,Z, \tag{10}$$

$$2\overline{\Psi}(x,\zeta,\bar\zeta) \equiv \big(-\bar\psi(x)\zeta + \bar\zeta\,\overline{\psi^c}(x)\big)\,(1-Z^2/2) + \big(\bar\psi'(x)\zeta - \bar\zeta\,\overline{\psi'^c}(x)\big)\,Z, \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Phi(x,\zeta,\bar\zeta) = {} & (\varphi(x)/\sqrt{2})(1-Z^3/6) + (\varphi' Z/\sqrt{6} + \zeta^{\bar\sigma}\phi'^{\sigma\bar\rho}\zeta^{\rho}/\sqrt{2})(1-Z) \\ & + (\zeta^{\bar\rho}\zeta^{\bar\sigma}\phi^{\bar\tau}\epsilon^{\tau\sigma\rho} - \epsilon^{\bar\rho\bar\sigma\bar\tau}\phi^{\tau}\zeta^{\sigma}\zeta^{\rho})(1-Z)/4. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Note also that both Ψ and Φ are overall Bose but Ψ carries spinorial indices α and that both left and right fermion fields occur in the magic square; so we are dealing with Dirac fields. The salient points to stress after all these manoeuvres is that two fermion generations (each with three colours of fermions) survive the antiduality constraint, while there are two scalar colour singlets φ, φ'.

4.3. *Gluon interactions*

The aim here is to show that the interactions with the gluons arise automatically from the supermetric G or frame vectors E in the spacetime-chromicity sector (as listed in Appendix A.3) through the full free Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\Phi + \mathcal{L}_\Psi$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_\Phi = \frac{1}{2}\int d^3\zeta d^3\bar\zeta \sqrt{G..}[G^{NM}\partial_M\Phi\partial_N\Phi + \mu^2\Phi^2] \quad \text{and} \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\Psi = \int d^3\zeta d^3\bar{\zeta}\,(\mathrm{sdet}\mathcal{E})\bar{\Psi}[i\Gamma^A E_A{}^M\partial_M - m]\Psi. \tag{14}$$

To simplify the discussion we shall at first ignore the property and spatial curvatures, thereby setting all $c_i = 0$, but will retain the gauge fields components of the metric to check that the correct gluon interactions ensue. (Later on we will relax this restriction as it can potentially complicate the picture by producing intergenerational mixing and finite wave function renormalization effects.) The normalization factors have been correctly introduced in (10)-(12) in as much as

$$\int d^3\zeta d^3\bar{\zeta}\,(\overline{\Psi}\Psi) = (\bar{\psi}\psi + \bar{\psi}'\psi') \text{ and } \int d^3\zeta d^3\bar{\zeta}\;\Phi^2 = (\varphi^2 + \varphi'^2 + \phi'^{\sigma\bar{\rho}}\phi'^{\rho\bar{\sigma}} + \phi^{\bar{\rho}}\phi^{\rho}).$$

The Bose field integration gives for the kinetic term

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathcal{L}_{K\Phi} = {} & \partial^m\varphi\partial_m\varphi + \partial^m\varphi'\partial_m\varphi' + (\partial^m\bar{\phi} + ig_w\bar{\phi}W^m)(\partial_m\bar{\phi} - ig_wW_m\bar{\phi}) \\ & + \mathrm{Tr}\,[(\partial^m\phi' - ig_w[W^m,\phi'])(\partial_m\phi' - ig_w[W_m,\phi'])] \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

while the Fermi field produces

$$\mathcal{L}_{K\Psi} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^m(\partial_m - ig_wW_m)\psi + i\bar{\psi}'\gamma^m(\partial_m - ig_wW_m)\psi', \tag{16}$$

provided that Ψ is a singlet in the auxiliary property gamma-matrix space, as explained in ref. 2; this ensures that the only relevant derivative part of (13) is $\gamma^a E_a{}^M\partial_M$, with $\Gamma^\alpha E_\alpha{}^M\partial_M$ giving zero. That is all well and good. However an interesting byproduct of the analysis is that the property derivative contributions, $2G^{\mu\bar{\nu}}\partial_{\bar{\nu}}\Phi\,\partial_\mu\Phi$, will add to the masses by Planckian magnitudes

$$(4/l^2)[-\varphi'^2 + 2\sqrt{3}\varphi\varphi' + \bar{\phi}\phi + \mathrm{Tr}(\phi'\phi')].$$

At this stage we are unclear if this is a desirable feature or not, but we need to be reminded that there is also an unrenormalized bare mass term $\mu^2\Phi^2$ which can serve to cancel out the Planckian additions; besides we have disregarded the self-interactions such as Φ^4 and $g\bar{\Psi}\Phi\Psi$.

4.4. *Gravitational interactions*

It is quite straightforward to introduce the space-time curvature via the full frame vectors (not just the gauge field part) as per eq (A.2):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{C}}\begin{pmatrix} E_a{}^m = e_a{}^m & E_a{}^\mu = ig_w(W_a\zeta)^\mu & (E_a)^{\bar{\mu}} = -ig_w(\bar{\zeta}W_a)^{\bar{\mu}} \\ E_\alpha{}^m = 0 & E_\alpha{}^\mu = \delta_\alpha{}^\mu & E_\alpha{}^{\bar{\mu}} = 0 \\ E_{\bar{\alpha}}{}^m = 0 & E_{\bar{\alpha}}{}^\mu = 0 & E_{\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\bar{\mu}} = \delta_{\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\bar{\mu}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

or the full metric (6). Including the property curvature coefficients c_i, the Berezinian ($Z \equiv \bar{\zeta}\zeta$) reads:

$$\mathrm{sdet}\mathcal{E} = 8(\sqrt{g..}/l^6)C^{-1} = 8(\sqrt{g..}/l^6)[1 - c_1Z + (c_1{}^2 - c_2)Z^2 + (2c_1c_2 - c_3 - c_1{}^3)Z^3]. \tag{18}$$

Finally one must integrate over the property coordinates. The result of including space-time curvature is to produce a fully coordinconfident ate independent and

gauge invariant Lagrangian unifying gravity and QCD. The only complication which arises is that the component fields, ψ and ϕ, may undergo wave function renormalizations determined by the property curvature coefficients c_i. For instance, noting that the spatial derivatives and the gauge field interactions are equally affected, the fermion field ψ must be rescaled by the factor $[1+3(5{c_1}^2-4c_2)/8]$, while the other fermion colour triplet ψ' does not require any rescaling. (Similar remarks apply to some of the boson field components.)

5. Discussion

We see that it is a fairly simple matter to extend our formalism from our previous papers to the strong force. Further, by including an overall gauge invariant factor C multiplying our metric, we can generate a cosmological constant. Then considering a local SU(3) gauge transformation of our property coordinates we end up producing an SU(3) Yang-Mills term in our Lagrangian. Together this unifies gravity with the strong force, as well as allowing for an unrestricted cosmological constant. As well, it automatically generates the correct interactions of matter fields with gluons.

The next step in our program is to consider larger gauge groups, for instance U(1)×SU(3) for dealing with electromagnetism plus the strong force, followed by considerations of chirality to deal with the weak force. The restriction of the free parameters c_i is also worth further study; in this paper we have confined ourselves to an overall factor C multiplying the metric but there are likely to be other ways of limiting the extra degrees of freedom in the metric.

We have been encountering issues with the time taken for algebraic computations, as the computational complexity is factorial in the number of property coordinates. This can be addressed by developing better algorithms to simplify expressions involving the metric or through further analysis of the underlying mathematical structure to allow us to compute the Lagrangian in a simpler manner. As we are now confident our scheme is self consistent and frame invariant, we can take shortcuts in our calculations to determine the cosmological and gravitational constants. The ultimate goal of all this is to eventually unify gravity with the full standard model group of U(1)× $\mathrm{SU(2)}_L$×SU(3), with enough restrictions on our free parameters c_i to be able to predict the value of the cosmological constant, or at least to be consistent with observations while maintaining a minimal number of free parameters.

Appendix A. Trace identities

In the evaluation of the Lagrangian we come across expressions of the form:

$$\int (\bar{\zeta}X\zeta)(\bar{\zeta}Y\zeta)(\bar{\zeta}Z\zeta)d^3\zeta d^3\bar{\zeta}$$

which will produce various traces over the matrices X, Y and Z when the property integration is performed. We now set about determining how to evaluate these expressions for N property coordinates.

First, if we sum over N property indices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_N$, the following is true:

$$\zeta^{\alpha_1}\zeta^{\alpha_2}...\zeta^{\alpha_N} = \varepsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_N}\zeta^1\zeta^2...\zeta^N,$$

where we take $\varepsilon^{12...N} = 1$. This means that if we have some expression of the form:

$$\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_1}{X_1}^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}\zeta^{\beta_1}\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_2}{X_2}^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2}\zeta^{\beta_2}...\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_N}{X_N}^{\alpha_N\bar{\beta}_N}\zeta^{\beta_N},$$

we can permute this to:

$$(\text{sign}){X_1}^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}{X_2}^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2}...{X_N}^{\alpha_N\bar{\beta}_N}\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_1}\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_2}...\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_N}\zeta^{\beta_1}\zeta^{\beta_2}...\zeta^{\beta_N}.$$

Where (sign) $= +1$ for $N = 1, 4, 5$ and -1 for $N = 2, 3$ ($+1$ when N mod $4 = 0$ or 1, otherwise -1). We now rewrite this in terms of Levi-Civita symbols:

$$(\text{sign}){X_1}^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}{X_2}^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2}\dots{X_N}^{\alpha_N\bar{\beta}_N}\varepsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_N}\varepsilon^{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2...\bar{\beta}_N}.$$

This product of Levi-Civita symbols can be re-expressed as a matrix determinant,

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_N}\varepsilon^{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2...\bar{\beta}_N} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1} & \delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_2} & \dots & \delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_N} \\ \delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_1} & \delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2} & \dots & \delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \delta^{\alpha_N\bar{\beta}_1} & \delta^{\alpha_N\bar{\beta}_2} & \dots & \delta^{\alpha_N\bar{\beta}_N} \end{vmatrix}$$

Thus we can find the results of integration over property coordinates for our non-abelian matrices $X_1, \dots, X_N$.

Appendix A.1. *SU(2) traces*

We now test this on SU(2), which we know already via explicit expansion to be:

$$\int \zeta^{\bar{\mu}}X^{\mu\bar{\nu}}\zeta^{\nu}\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}}Y^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\zeta^{\beta}d^2\zeta d^2\bar{\zeta} = \text{Tr}(XY) - \text{Tr}(X)\text{Tr}(Y)$$

Using our above method, we have a minus sign since $N = 2$, and then we need the determinant:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1} & \delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_2} \\ \delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_1} & \delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2} \end{vmatrix} = \delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2} - \delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_2}\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_1}$$

Thus our expression becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_1}X^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}\zeta^{\beta_1}\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_2}Y^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2}\zeta^{\beta_2} &= -(\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2} - \delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_2}\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_1})X^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}Y^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2} \\ &= -\text{Tr}(X)\text{Tr}(Y) + \text{Tr}(XY) \end{aligned}$$

after integration over property, which agrees with above.

Appendix A.2. *SU(3) traces*

We can now apply the same process to SU(3) traces. We will start in the permuted order, as this is what Mathematica deals with (i.e. no sign due to permutation).

$$X^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}Y^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2}Z^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_3}\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_1}\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_2}\zeta^{\bar{\alpha}_3}\zeta^{\beta_1}\zeta^{\beta_2}\zeta^{\beta_3}$$

We need the determinant:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{vmatrix}\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1} & \delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_2} & \delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_3}\\ \delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_1} & \delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2} & \delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_3}\\ \delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_1} & \delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_2} & \delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_3}\end{vmatrix}\\
=&\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}(\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_3}-\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_3}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_2})+\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_2}(\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_3}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_1}-\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_1}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_3})\\
&+\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_3}(\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_1}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_2}-\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_1})\\
=&\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_3}-\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1}\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_3}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_2}+\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_2}\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_3}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_1}-\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_2}\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_1}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_3}\\
&+\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_3}\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_1}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_2}-\delta^{\alpha_1\bar{\beta}_3}\delta^{\alpha_2\bar{\beta}_2}\delta^{\alpha_3\bar{\beta}_1}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus after integration over property our expression becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}(X)\mathrm{Tr}(Y)\mathrm{Tr}(Z)-\mathrm{Tr}(X)\mathrm{Tr}(YZ)+\mathrm{Tr}(XYZ)\\
&-\mathrm{Tr}(XY)\mathrm{Tr}(Z)+\mathrm{Tr}(XZY)-\mathrm{Tr}(XZ)\mathrm{Tr}(Y)
\end{aligned}$$

For the purposes of Mathematica implementation there are several important subcases, namely when X, Y or Z are the identity. In this case their traces evaluate for $N=3$: for $Z=I$ we get $\mathrm{Tr}(X)\mathrm{Tr}(Y)-\mathrm{Tr}(XY)$; for $Y=Z=I$ we get $2\mathrm{Tr}(X)$, and finally for $X=Y=Z=I$ we get 6.

Appendix A.3. *Frame vectors and Vielbeins*

We have the overall curvature factor $C=1+c_1\bar{\zeta}\zeta+c_2(\bar{\zeta}\zeta)^2+c_3(\bar{\zeta}\zeta)^3$, leading to $\sqrt{C}=1+\frac{1}{2}c_1\bar{\zeta}\zeta+\frac{1}{2}(c_2-\frac{1}{4}{c_1}^2)(\bar{\zeta}\zeta)^2+\frac{1}{2}(c_3-\frac{1}{2}c_1c_2+\frac{1}{8}{c_1}^3)(\bar{\zeta}\zeta)^3$. In Section 4 we have taken upper-triangular frame vectors of the following form which produce the metric (6) used previously:

$$\mathcal{E}_M{}^A=\sqrt{C}\begin{pmatrix}e_m{}^a & -ig_w{W_m}^{\alpha\bar{\nu}}\zeta^\nu & ig_w\zeta^{\bar{\nu}}{W_m}^{\nu\bar{\alpha}}\\ 0 & \delta_\mu{}^\alpha & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{\bar{\mu}}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.1}$$

These have as their inverse the vielbein matrix,

$$E_A{}^M=\frac{1}{\sqrt{C}}\begin{pmatrix}e_a{}^m & ig_w{W_a}^{\mu\bar{\nu}}\zeta^\nu & -ig_w\zeta^{\bar{\nu}}{W_a}^{\nu\bar{\mu}}\\ 0 & \delta_\alpha{}^\mu & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\bar{\mu}}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.2}$$

References

1. Y.M. Cho and J.S. Jang, Phys. Rev. **D12**, 3789 (1975).
2. A. Salam and J. Strathdee, Ann. Phys. **141**, 316 (1982).
3. D. Bailin and A. Love, Rep. Prog. Phys. **50**, 1087 (1987).
4. Y.M Cho and D.S. Kim, J. Math. Phys. **30**, 1570 (1989).
5. J.M. Overduin and P.S. Wesson, Phys. Repts. **283**, 303 (1997).
6. M.J. Duff, B.E.W. Nilsson and C.N. Pope, Phys. Repts **130**, 1 (1986).
7. R.P. Feynman, in "Selected Papers of Richard Feynman", ed J.M. Brown (1972).
8. R. Delbourgo and M. Ramon-Medrano, Nucl. Phys. **B110**,467 (1976).
9. K.S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. **D16**, 953 (1977).
10. T. Kugo and I. Ojima, Prog. THeor. Phys. Suppl. **66**, 1 (1979).
11. R. Delbourgo and P.D. Stack, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **29A**, 50023 (2014).
12. P.D. Stack and R. Delbourgo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **30A**, 50005 (2015).
13. R. Delbourgo and P.D. Stack, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **30A**, 1550095 (2015).